

1 Joseph W. Cotchett (36324)
 2 jcotchett@cpmlegal.com
 3 Steven N. Williams (175489)
 4 swilliams@cpmlegal.com
 5 Nanci E. Nishimura (152621)
 6 nnishimura@cpmlegal.com
 7 Neil Swartzberg (215133)
 8 nswartzberg@cpmlegal.com
 9 Aron K. Liang (228936)
 10 COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY
 11 San Francisco Airport Office Center
 12 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
 13 Burlingame, CA 94010
 14 Telephone: (650) 697-6000
 15 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577

10 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs Wortman, Adams and Garcia and
 11 the Proposed Class*
 12
 13
 14
 15

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

16 DONALD WORTMAN, 17 WILLIAM ADAMS, 18 MARGARET GARCIA , individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, 19 Plaintiffs, 20 vs. 21 AIR NEW ZEALAND, ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS, CATHAY PACIFIC AIRWAYS, CHINA AIRLINES, EVA AIRWAYS, JAPAN AIRLINES INTERNATIONAL, MALAYSIA AIRLINES, NORTHWEST AIRLINES, INC., QANTAS AIRWAYS, SINGAPORE AIRLINES, THAI AIRWAYS, UNITED AIRLINES 22 23 24 25 26 27 Defendants.	16) Case No. 07-5634-CRB 17) 18) (MDL No. 1913 – <i>In re Transpacific</i>) <i>Passenger Air Transportation Antitrust</i>) <i>Litigation</i>) 19) 20) 21) 22) 23) 24) 25) 26) 27) 28)
--	---

JOINT STIPULATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1 EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT &
 AGREEMENT OF DEFENSE COUNSEL RE: SERVICE OF COMPLAINT -- CASE NO. 07-5634

1 Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, and in light of the February 19, 2008 Judicial Panel on
 2 Multidistrict Litigation (“JPML”) Transfer Order consolidating this case and the other
 3 Transpacific Passenger Air cases, Plaintiffs Donald Wortman, William Adams, and Margaret
 4 Garcia (“Plaintiffs”), and defendant Cathay Pacific Airways Ltd. (“Defendant”), through counsel,
 5 hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

6 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that Defendant’s time to answer, move or
 7 otherwise plead is enlarged until either: (1) 45 days after plaintiffs in the Transpacific Passenger
 8 Air cases file and serve a consolidated amended complaint; or, (2) 45 days after plaintiffs in the
 9 Transpacific Passenger Air cases provide notice that a consolidated amended complaint will not
 10 be filed.

11 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that Defendant Cathay Pacific Airways
 12 Ltd. shall not contest sufficiency of process or service of process of the complaint filed in the
 13 above-captioned action. This Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by Cathay Pacific Airways
 14 Ltd. of any other defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack of personal
 15 jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction, or improper venue. Nothing in this paragraph shall
 16 obligate Defendant to answer, move, or otherwise respond to any complaint until the time
 17 provided in the preceding paragraph.

18 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

19 Respectfully Submitted,

20 Dated: March 24, 2008

COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY

21 By: /s/ Neil Swartzberg
 22 Neil Swartzberg

23 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed
 24 Class*

25 Dated: March 24, 2008

By: /s/ Danielle S. Fitzpatrick

26 Danielle S. Fitzpatrick, Bar No. 196593
 27 DLA PIPER US LLP
 28 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7000
 Seattle, Washington 98104-7044
 Telephone: 206.839.4800

1 Facsimile: 206.839.4801
2 danielle.fitzpatrick@dlapiper.com

3 *Attorneys for Defendant Cathay Pacific*
4 *Airways Ltd.*

5 **ATTESTATION OF FILING**

6 Pursuant to N.D. Cal. General Order No. 45, section 45 X(B), I, Neil Swartzberg, hereby
7 attest that concurrence in the filing of this stipulation and proposed order has been obtained from
8 Counsel for Defendant Cathay Pacific Airways Ltd. who has provided the conformed signature
9 above.

10
11 COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY

12 By: /s/ Neil Swartzberg
13 Neil Swartzberg

14 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs Wortman, Adams and*
15 *Garcia and the Proposed Class*